



POST PROJECT EVALUATION
FOR THE
UNITED NATIONS DEMOCRACY FUND

EVALUATION REPORT

UNDEF Funded Project / UDF- !-"#\$-%OL

Stren&t' en(n& De) ocr*t(c P*rt(c(+*t(on *nd Inc,u-(. e Loc*, De. e,o+) ent (n
Ind(&enou- *nd Pe*- *nt Co)) un(t(e- (n %o,(. (*

D*te/0 Au&u-t 01 #

T*2,e o3 Content-

T*2,e o3 Content-

I4 OVERALL ASSESSMENT

I14 PROJECT CONTE5T	0
Development Context	2
The Project Objective	3
Intervention Rationale	4
III4 METHODOLO6Y	"
IV4 EVALUATION FINDIN6S	7
(i) Relevance	6
(ii) E ectivene!!	"
(iii) E icienc#	\$3
(iv) Impact	\$4
(v) %&!tainabilit#	\$'
(vi) () DE* a++e+ val&e	\$6
V4 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	#
VI4 LESSONS LEARNED	\$
VII4 ANNE5ES	01
, nnex \$- Eval&ation . &e!tion!	2\$
, nnex 2- Doc&ment! Revie/e+	23
, nnex 3- Per!on! Intervie/e+	24
, nnex 4- , cron#m!	26

Ac8no9,ed&e) ent-

The Eval&ator /o&l+ li0e to than0 the 1 icroj&!tice 2olivia Team3 partic&larl# 1 aria Cho4&e3 or
the time an+ ener5# +evote+ to thi! proce!!6 Than0! are al!o +&e to all o the intervie/ee!3

14 OVERALL ASSESSMENT

From December 2014 to November 2016, the project in Bolivia (172)3a branch of the project implemented the project <then in 5 democratic participation and inclusive local development in indigenous and peasant communities in Bolivia. The project objective /a/ to allow all members of the community to participate in democratic decision-making processes. The project /a/ based on the model developed by 17 and implemented in it. Even countries or organizations over the last 5 years. The project benefited from an () DE* grant of (\$ 2 : : (\$ 3 : : retained by () DE* or monitoring and evaluation).

The project objective includes:

- Increase the number of people in the target population with basic education.
- Increase awareness in the target population of the importance of having their basic education.
- Increase the capacity of community leaders and organizations to participate in democratic processes and to exercise their civil and political rights.
- Improve access to basic education through evidence-based input or institutional reform through advocacy.

The project achieved significant results including:

- Enrollment of 233 children in collective (community association) primary education.
- Establishment of 5 community-based organizations.
- Increase in community participation through participatory development of budgeting and internal relations.
- Involvement of community leaders and rural facilitators.
- Support for reform processes aimed at facilitating the involvement of a collective in the enrollment process.

The project /a/ timely and relevant to participant needs and the political and cultural context in Bolivia. The potential impact could be extended beyond the local and political rights to all to enhance socioeconomic development once the eligible communities are able to access government projects and resources. The project /a/ cost-efficient and well-managed. The project /a/ focused on community ownership contribution to sustainability of results through 1 project /a/ sustainability and ability to operate independently on additional external support. The () DE* branch and flexible approach has important value added in the Bolivian context.

The evaluation /a/ recommendations include:

- When the project is over, conduct a baseline assessment = (baseline re-evaluation hereafter referred to as P7) or more /omenD/ or 5ani!ation! an+ incl&+in5 article! in comm&nit# P7 b#@a/! !peci ic to /omenD/ participationC
- Proactively see0 opport&nitie! to map an+ complement the effort! o other or 5ani!ation! in the target municipalitiesC
- Sharpen the monitoring an+ evaluation system through improve+ the initiation o the outcome-level indicator! in!tr&ment! an+ !o&rce!C
- Enhance /orOin5 on a+vocac#3 +evelop +eliberate !trate5ie! incl&+in5 +eci!ion ma0er map!3 me+ia plan! an+ coalition b&il+in5C
- Strengthen !&!tainabilit# thro&5h partner!hip! /ith other or 5ani?ation! F partic&lar!# international non-governmental or 5ani?ation!C a +eman+ !t&+# to +etermine the ea!ibilit# o ee increa!e!C an+ a care o local expert! able an+ intere!te+ in o erin5 le5al !ervice! to comm&nitie! at rea!onable price!6

>e!!on! learne+ that co&l+ be applie+ to other project! in

*rom \$ December 2: \$4 ; 3:) ovember 2: \$63 the

- Conduct of 5-year campaign
- Promotion and broadcast of radio spots and programmes
- Processing of 2-year campaign on internet and 2-year or 1-year formalization (later change to 5-year campaign or 1-year formalization and 2-year on internet)
- Development of 5-year and political capacity building workshop
- Realization of nine educational video training event or 2-year beneficiaries
- Development of 2-year evidence-based advocacy and a communication film
- Conduct of 2-year advocacy and capacity building meeting with local/national government and
- Development of one large or 6

III.4 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

, in independent expert conducted the present evaluation according to the guidelines of

(C) DE* Operational Manual, to realize and analyze the project and other

5ro&p! an+ intervieu/! &!e+ term! !&ch a! <0in+=3 <re!pon!ive=3 <re!pect &|= an+
<0no/le+5eable= to +e!cribe the 172 !ta 6

5 6* *) ' \$ \$, ! # + + +
) * * * * * * .778 & -

2a!e+ on trainin5 an+ a+vi!or# !&pport rom 1723P7 +oc&ment! /o&l+ incl&+e re erence! to
con!tit&tional principle! an+ le5al rame/or0!6 %ection! re5ar+in5 <p&ni!hment= provi+e one
example o the intro+&ction o h&man ri5ht! principle!6 E herea! comm&nit# tra+ition! o ten
a!!ociate j&!tice /ith ph#!ical p&ni!hment (/hippin5 i! partic&lar!# common)3 172 !ta
/or0e+ /ith comm&nitie! to rai!e a/arene!! re5ar+in5 non&ph#!ical orm! o comm&nit#
j&!tice an+ the nee+ to move a/a# rom <vilante j&!tice= to/ar+! mechani!m! ba!e+ on +&e
proce!! an+ the pre!&mpion o innocence6

(ii) Effectiveness

(/* * ' + 0 \$

o /omen receivin5 PD3incl&!ion o 5en+er e4&alit# principle! an+ mechani!m! in P7
provi!ion!3an+ P7 !&pport or /omenD! or5ani?ation!6

The project all!o incl&+e+ an a+vocac# objective aime+ at <improvin5 acce!! to ba!ic le5al
+oc&ment! thro&5h evi+ence@ba!e+ inp&t! or in!tit&tional re orm!6 To thi! en+3 172 in orme+
repre!entative! o relevant a5encie! o the ob!tacle! that comm&nit271 . 44 0 Tdt[(n088(i) -2. 47856(v) (6-

b) The training material is included in the brochure and manual. It received positive reviews from

(iii) Efficiency

(/*) ++
*or the overall col!t o B\$: : : 3 project participa

!ati! action3 or in!tance3i+enti #in5 the percenta5e o re!pon+ent! /ho believe the !ervice i!
rea!onabl# price+6

. /* * \$ % \$ * % + \$, * * ! 0 *

Participant! in interview/! an+ oc&! 5ro&p! !ai+ the# have re erre+ nei5hborin5 comm&nitie! to

an+ E orl+ 8i!ionF to a propo!e a partner!hip /hereb# 172 provi+e! P7 !&ppport (or a ee) to the comm&nitie! /here the# /or0C

b)

the type of support offered by the DE* to 2019 civil society organization is more critical than ever

4 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion	Recommendation
- The project intervention /a/ time/ /ell tar5ete+3an+ c&t&all# appropriate to the local context	n/a

number of people with greater awareness! Impact of the

VII ANNEES

Annex 4 Evaluation questions

The evaluation focuses on the following 4 questions:-

Relevance

- Whether the objective of the project were in line with the need and priorities or democratic development given the context
- Whether another project might have been preferred rather than the one implemented in order to better reflect the need, priorities and context

Effectiveness

- To what extent were project objectives achieved
- To what extent was the project implemented as envisaged or were there deviations from what was the realisation and results
- Were the project activities adequate to make progress towards the project objectives
Were any objectives not achieved and if so why

Efficiency

- Are there a reasonable relationship between project inputs and project outputs
- Did institutional arrangements promote cost-effectiveness and accountability
- Are the monitoring and evaluation system conducive to accountability, efficiency and learning
- Did budget and implementation facilitate achievement of objectives

Impact

- To what extent have the realisation of the project objective(s) and project outcomes had an impact on the specific problem the project aimed to address
- Have the targeted beneficiaries experienced a tangible impact
Which were positive
Which were negative
- To what extent has the project caused an expected positive and negative
outcome and an un-expected on democratisation
Did the project lead to have a catalytic effect
If so, give an example

Sustainability

- To what extent has the project established processes and systems that are likely to support continued impact
- Are the involved parties willing and able to continue the project activities on their own (where applicable)

UNDESA value added

- To what extent did UNDESA provide value added (in terms of best practice or areas of improvement) to or for that area and partner since 2012
- How distinct were the UNDESA activities from the other

- Know/ /a! the () DE* bran+ &!e+ to +velop ne/ partner!hip! an+ ne5otiate /ith !ta0ehol+er!N

" Annex ' \$ Documents Review (e! \$

06 Della Co!ta %te&n0e!32: \$A3 <2olivia! Democrac# at Ri!0- E hat Role or External , ctor!N= Carne5ie En+o/ment or International Peace

% *a5erna!32: \$A3 <The bene fit! o lo/ ee le5al !ervice! on +oc&mentation- >e!!on! rom the client! o 1 icroj&!tica 2olivia= %t&+# &n+e+ b# Re!earch Development Grant rom %chool o 2&!ine!!3 1 ana5ement an+ Economic!3 (niver!it# o %&!!ex

1 6 Karbit? an+ 1 6C6 Tamar5o32: : "3 <The %i5ni icance o >e5al I+entit# in %it&ation! o Povert# an+ %ocial Excl&!ion= Inter@, merican Development 2an0

1 icroj&!tica 2olivia32: \$'3 <>inea 2a!e- Pro#ecto *ortalecimiento +e la ParticipaciOn DemocrPtica # el De!arrollo >ocal Incl&!ivo en la! Com&ni+a+e! In+i5ena! # Campe!ina! +e 2olivia=

1 icroj&!tica 2olivia32: \$'3 <1 o+&lo \$- Derecho a la I+enti+a+- Certi fica+o! +e) acimiento3 1 atrimonio3 De &nciOn3 # CQ+&la +e I+enti+a+=

1 icroj&!tica 2olivia32: \$63 <1 o+&lo 2- Per!onali+a+ 7&r!+ica # Derecho! In+i5ena Ori5inario Campelino! =

1 icroj&!tica 2olivia32: \$63 <1 o+&lo 3- Gobernan?a # Democracia Interna=

96 O&illette32: \$'3 <, n Impact , !!e!!ment o 1 icroj&!tice4, IID! >e5al %ervice Provi!ion in Per& an+ 2olivia= 1 icroj&!tice4, II

(D* @3@20>@All@Projs@D@87m@9327h) 2) 9.132498 (o) 25.52 1422 (at) -0. 0875722 (i) -2. 47856(o) 13. 9

4 Comm&nit# lea+er!	8illa 7&pi3 , !oc %arta/i/i3 l anarani3 9hop&ta3 , roma (in >a Pa?)
Petrona Con+ori	Comm&nit# >ea+er (in >a Pa?)
23 7&ne 2: \$A	
9aren 8alver+e3 , lberto Garcia3 Ro!ali >e+e!ma	() DP ; Department! o 7&!tice M Governance
Toribia >ero	() E omen Civil %ociet# , +vi!or# Committee
1 aria Cho4&e	Debrie in5

