

## Committee for Development Policy 20<sup>th</sup> Plenary Session

United Nations
New York, 12-16 Mar ! 2018
Conferen e "oo# 6

# \$%&nera'i&it(Profi&e of )i#or-Leste



#### Timor-Leste: graduation road map at a glance

2.1 Gross National Income per capita 2.2 The income distribution status Percentage of population undernourished 3.1 hild !under fi"e# mortalit\$ 3.2 3.3 % aternal mortalit\$ 3.& 'econdar\$ school enrolment ) dult literac\$ 3.( Population Ii"ing in Io\*-I\$ing areas &.1 % erchandise e+port concentration &.2 , ictims of natural disasters &.3

The graduation criteria and the graduation rule

Instabilit\$ of agricultural production

Instabilit\$ of e+ports of goods and ser"ices

&.&

&.(

Timor-Leste \*as added to the list of L/s in 2003- a \$ear after its accession to independence. In its 201 (re"ie\* of the 7N list of Least /e"eloped ountries !L/s# in %arch 201 (- the 7 nited Nations ommittee for /e"elopment Polic\$! / P# obser"ed that Timor-Leste \*as meeting the .income onl\$. graduation rule- gi"en its score at 3031 of the rele"ant graduation threshold. The / P accordingl\$ found Timor-Leste pre-eligible for graduation from L/status- a temporar\$ situation that \*ould normall\$ e"ol"e to\*ard full eligibilit\$ if the countr\$- three \$ears later- meets the same .income onl\$. rule or the normal graduation rule !i.e. t\*o graduation thresholds#.

The /P- in the 201( re"ie\* of the list- obser"ed Timor-Leste's potential graduation prospects as being determined b\$ the performance described in Table 1.

This Profile \*as prepared in accordance \*ith General ) ssembl\$ resolution (9:209 of 20 / ecember 200&- \*hich decided that .after a countr\$ has met the criteria for graduation for the first time- 7N T) / is mandated to prepare a "ulnerabilit\$ profile on the identified countr\$ to be ta8en into account b\$ the ommittee for /e"elopment Polic\$ at its subse4uent triennial re"ie\*.². It is an input to the \*or8 of the /P in ans\*ering the 4uestion of the graduation of Timor-Leste from L/ status- \*ith a focus on the e+tent to \*hich effecti"e structural progress has been ta8ing place in the countr\$.

'ections 2- 3 and & e+amine the situation of Timor-Leste under the three criteria for identif\$ing L/ s- namel\$ the per capita income criterion- the human assets criterion- and the economic "ulnerabilit\$ criterion- respecti"el\$. The e+amination underta8en under each criterion casts light on "arious factors and determinants of the countr\$2 o"erall performance under the criterion.

Graphs 1- 2 and 3 illustrate the e"olution of Timor-Leste- since 2003- under the graduation thresholds rele"ant to the per capita income criterion- the human assets criterion- and the economic "ulnerabilit\$ criterion- respecti"el\$. The data indicate the countr\$1 distance to the graduation threshold- as \*ell as the distance to the admission threshold !the le"el for admitting ne\* countries into the list#. ) Il data through the eight triennial re"ie\*s of the list of L / s after 1991 !199&- 199;- 2000- 2003- 200<- 2009- 2012- 201(# ha"e been standardi=ed in inde+ form- ith the graduation threshold standing out as the 100 basis. >or e+ample- a score of 3; obser"ed in 201( under the second criterion indicated that Timor-Leste stood at 3; 1 of the rele"ant graduation threshold.

&

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> General ) ssembl\$ resolution ):?5':(9:209-

<sup>-</sup> para. 3!b#- 20 / ecember 200&.

### Timor-Leste\$s pre-eligibilit\$ for graduation from L / status in the 201( re"ie\$ of the list of L / s

To pre-4ualif\$ for graduation in the 201 (re"ie\* of the list- an L / had to meet at least t\*o of the follo\*ing three graduation thresholds A

A to ha"e a gross national income per capita of at least !2011-2013 three-\$ear a"erage# A to ha"e a score B<< under the Cuman ) ssets Inde+ !C) I#- e+treme "alues of \*hich-among L/ s- \*ere ;.3 !lo \*est human assets# and 3;.<!highest human assets#

A to ha"e a score D32 under the 5conomic , ulnerabilit\$ Inde+ !5 , I#- e+treme "alues of \*hih- among L / s- \*ere ;1.( !highest "ulnerabilit\$# and 2&.9 !lo\*est "ulnerabilit\$#.

Co\*e"er-b\$ "irtue of the .income onl\$. e+ception to the normal graduation rule- preeligibilit\$ for graduation \*ould be obser"ed A A if the !20101105(D) - 1.16491(3) - 6.0141(2) - 6.0141(3) - 3.0601(3) - 3.0

roc8eting path through the 2009- 2012 and 201 (re"ie\*s of the list of L / s. GNI per capita rose from E310 in 2002 !the \$ear of accession to independence# to E&-1(0 in 2012- its historical pea8.

TI% 6?-L5 'T5: distance from the graduation threshold under the per capita income criterion! based on GNI per capita#

NB: " ./,- \* ./,0" 1 "

 $7\,\text{N}$  T) /- based on /P data up to 201(

#### 2.1 Gross National Income per capita

'ince a fe\* \$ears after its independence in %a\$ 2002- Timor-Leste econom\$ is hea"il\$ dependent on oil and gas. Pre"iousl\$- it \*as based on agriculture- \*ith coffee as the main e+port. These h\$drocarbons contributed about  $30\,1$  of the countr\$2s G/P in 2012-2013 and about  $99\,1$  of e+ports and other re"enue³

The gross national income per capita !GNI per capita# has been gro\*ing rapidl\$- from a

#### 2.2 The income distribution status

The rapidl\$ gro \* ing income at the national le"el does not seem so far to be accompanied

'e"eral indicators sho \* that Timor-Leste still needs to ma8e substantial progress in terms of a# food pro"ision to fight malnutrition- b# child health and c# education at secondar\$ le"el. The pre"ious three indicators are used b\$ the ommittee for /e"elopment Polic\$! / P# to measure human capital le"el for graduation from-as \*ell as inclusion to -L/ categor\$. 5 ach of the three indicators \*ill be anal\$=ed in detail in the follo\* ing sections.

ountries \* ith the highest pr / hip8three

There are e"en more pressing issues in terms of 4ualit\$ of future human capital \*hen malnutrition concerns such categories of the population as pregnant \*omen and children under (

#### 3.2 <u>hild !under fi"e# mortalit</u>\$

% aternal mortalit\$ rate !modeled estimate#- per 100-000 li"e births

Timor-Leste	1030	<9&	(<;	&<<	3(0	2<;	21(
5ast ) sia MPacific	1(9	113			;3	<;	(9
Horld	33(	3&1			2(&	232	21<

'ource: Horld I an8- H / I- Jul\$ 201;

#### 3.& 'econdar\$ school enrolment

The third indicator of the le"el of de"elopment of human capital is the rate of enrolment of children in secondar\$ school !Gross enrolment ratio- G5?#. , arious sources estimate Timor-Leste\( \)s G5? to be about ;<.3 1 \( \)^{13} in 201( !Table 9#. Co\*e"er- net enrolment ratio !N5?# is usuall\$ much lo\*er. It \*as estimated b\$ 7N5' 6 at ((.3 1 in 201( but other sources in Timor-Leste ha"e pre"iousl\$ published a rate almost half such a figure. >or e+ample- in 2013- the figure for N5? \*as &3.<1 for 7N5' 6 but onl\$ 2(1 for official sources in Timor-Leste\( \)^4.

Timor-Leste secondar\$ school enrolment ratio- 2003-201(!1#

/ ata in the table also sho\* female pupils in Timor-Leste are recentl\$ doing better than the male pupils in terms of enrolment. 'ince 2012- all statistics sho\* a clear trend \*here female pupils succeed more easil\$ than the male- \*ith less repetition and less drop-out. The gender gap seems increasing at all le"els of education in fa"or of female pupils. 'ome obser"ers ma\$ establish a causalit\$ lin8 bet\*een the higher malnutrition and stunting \*e discussed abo"e \*hich \*as found to affect more \$oung bo\$s than girls and the lo\* performance in secondar\$ school for at least half the same bo\$s. I ut such causalit\$ needs further stud\$ to be confirmed.

Co\*e"er- in general- the Go"ernment of Timor-Leste considers that the success of female pupils is a real achie"ement on the front of eliminating gender bias against girls in the education s\$stem and be\$ond. Iut re"erse bias at the e+pense of male pupils is a problem that the authorities are hoping to fi+.

#### 3.( <u>) dult literac\$</u>

) dult literac\$ rate in Timor-Leste \*as estimated b\$ 7N5' 6 to be <&.11 in 201( !Table 10#. It had been increasing 4uic8l\$- almost doubling in 1& \$ears- from a lo\* le"el of 3;.<1 in 2001. Co\*e"er- literac\$ is not e"enl\$ distributed among the population of Timor-Leste. )s in man\$ other countries- urban population is almost t\*ice more literate !33.21 in 2010# than rural population !&(.91 the same \$ear# \*hen the a"erage for the tota5(a)-16(\$78-.1)-2488281(p)\$ otrouti

The lagging situation under the economic "ulnerabilit\$ criterion !at onl\$ (31 of the graduation threshold in 201(- and a pro"isionall\$ estimated (; 1 in 2013# should be interpreted \*ith caution. 6nl\$ t\*o of the eight indicators entering the 5 2 ! effecti"el\$ portra\$ Timor-Leste as a highl\$ e+posed econom\$- therefore as a potentiall\$ "ulnerable one: merchandise e+port concentration- and instabilit\$ of e+ports of goods and ser"ices. I oth obser"ations !a high degree of concentration\$ high e+port instabilit\$# are e+plained b\$ a single economic factor: oil e+traction. Hhether this conte+t can be considered a mar8 of economic "ulnerabilit\$ !despite the fluctuations in oil e+port receipts# is debatable-gi"en the \*ealth effect of oil e+ports --a .positi"e shoc8.-- on the national economic landscape.

Timor-Leste®s economic "ulnerabilities include some shoc8s due to sudden and strong "ariations in e+ternal trade for its e+ports but also to the damages due to se"eral t\$pes of natural disasters. Natural shoc8s include epidemics- e+cessi"e or lac8 of

% ost of these people li"e in rural areas !0.311# \*hile onl\$ "er\$ fe\* people !0.121# li"e in urban areas. >our main =ones ha"e been identified b\$ the % inistr\$ of 'ocial') ffairs and 'olidarit\$ as lo\*-l\$ing =ones: I eacau in the North- 'uai Loro in the 'outh- I atuguede on the coast of the Hestern part of the capital / ili- and Tasi-Tolu =one near the three la8es belo\* the hills near / ili.

Lo\*-I\$ing =ones are therefore a manageable problem so far in the case of Timor-Leste. In fact Timor-Leste is mainI\$ a mountainous countr\$- \*ith mountain slopes rising 4uic8I\$ after

?el\$ing so hea"il\$ on so fe\* products is a "er\$ ris8\$ situation for the econom\$ of the countr\$. Timor-Leste needs therefore to di"ersif\$ its e+ports both for merchandise and ser"ices in order to reduce the "ulnerabilit\$ of hea"\$ dependence on onl\$ t\*o products e"en though the\$ are energ\$ or strategic products. 5"en though this is a classic situation for man\$ petroleum producing countries- the limited amount of reser"es should encourage the countr\$ to speed up di"ersification in its e+ports.

#### &.3 , ictims of natural disasters

'e"eral natural disasters ha"e hit Timor-Leste in recent \$ears. These include epidemics due parasitic and bacterial diseases !Table 13#6 storms- floods and landslides6 drought- earth mo"ements- etc. ) ccording to "arious sources- since 2003- there ha"e been 9 natural disasters in the countr\$- about 1 e"er\$ t\*o \$ears on a"erage. These resulted in a limited number of deaths !(3# but a great number of people affected !2212;30#- close to 201 of the \*hole population.

) nother source  $^{1}$  citing Go"ernment data indicates that bet \*een 2002 and 2013 !Table 10- column 3#-;93 e"ents too8 place. These included disasters not counted b\$ other sourcessuch as strong \*ind and fire- \*hich represented <21 of all e"ents !&39 out of ;93#. ) Isogo"ernment sources seem to ha"e identified more floods and storms than other sources !2(& instead of 3# bet \*een 2002:2003 and 2013:201&.

In No"ember 2011- the  $7\,\text{N}$  % ission in Timor-Leste reported that the countr\$ had suffered &;0 disaster e"ents o"er the pre"ious ten \$ears. ) medium e+posure to ha=ards but \*ith a lac8 of coping and adapti"e strategies- ma8ing it the ;<sup>th</sup> most disaster- prone countr\$ in the \*orld.

The Go"ernment is a\*are of these ris8s and has been implementing some strategies to minimi=e their impact on the population: "accination campaigns to pre"ent diseases6 \*eather forecasting- reforestation and irrigation to minimi=e the impact of storms- flooding and drought-etc. Co\*e"er- drought episodes are still affecting too man\$ people !1202000#- thus calling for more effecti"e strategies to limit its impact.

#### &.& <u>Instabilit\$ of agricultural production</u>

) gricultural production in Timor-Leste has been gro\*ing \*ell since 2003 !Table 1&#. >rom 2003 to 201&- "alue added for the sector has been regularl\$ gro\*ing- doubling in constant 2010 7 'E. ereal \$ield has more than doubled as \*ell- from 1.&& t:ha to 2.92 t:ha. ) nnual percentage gro\*th does not sho\* much instabilit\$ be\$ond the "er\$ high and \*elcome gro\*th of 2<.<1 in 2012.

5 "olution of agriculture and food production in Timor-Leste- 2003-201&

>00d production inde+ !200&-200< R 100#	100.9<	103.1(	132.9(	133.0(	120.92 <sup>1&lt;</sup>
) griculture "alue added- !constant 2010 7 'E- million 7 'E#	1<3	13;	19<	200	19(

<sup>)</sup> griculture "alue added-!annual 1

production ha"e also some effect on e+ports and ma\$ be e"en more determinant in the future as some petroleum production \*ells dr\$ up \*hile not enough ne\* \*ells begin producing.

In order to mitigate the "ulnerabilit\$ of the econom\$ due to instabilit\$ of e+ports in goods and ser"ices- Timor-Leste needs as much di"ersification as possible- both in terms of products and ser"ices e+ported !hori=ontal# as \*ell as in terms of their "alue addition !"ertical#. 6 ne big proFect to di"ersif\$ "erticall\$ along the gas and petroleum "alue chain is Tasi % ane ProFect \*hich aims to build a petroleum refiner\$ and petrochemical comple+ as \*ell as a li4uefaction plant for natural gas in the 'outh of the countr\$!'ee bo+ belo\*#.

rude petroleum price<sup>1;</sup> instabilit\$ !2003-201(#

Price inde+ !2000R100#	102	223	219	3;2	130
) "erage annual price- in current 7 'E	23.90	<&.23	<1.;9	10(.01	(0.;9

<u>'ource</u>: 7N T) / 'tat- Jan. 201;

<sup>1; ) &</sup>quot;erage of 7 S I rent !light#- / ubai !medium# and Te+as !hea"\$#- e4uall\$ \*eighted !E:barrel#.

#### The graduation criteria and the graduation rule

The 4uestion of graduation from L/ status \*as conceptuali=ed b\$ the 7 nited Nations in 1991- \*hen the first maFor re"ision of the criteria for identif\$ing L/ s too8 place. The methodological elements of the graduation rule \*ere also adopted in that \$ear- a mo"e that has pa"ed the \*a\$ for fi"e cases of graduation from L/ status: I ots \*ana in 199&- abo , erde in 200;- %aldi"es in 2011- 'amoa in 201&- and 54uatorial Guinea in 201;

In 1990- the 'econd 7 nited Nations onference on the Least / e"eloped ountries in Paris had en"isaged graduation from L/ status as a natural prospect for countries that \*ould e"entuall\$ demonstrate enough economic progress to be able to remain on the same de"elopment path \*ith a lesser need for concessionar\$ treatment. In 2001- the Third 7 nited Nations onference on the Least / e"eloped ountries in I russels contemplated graduation as a criterion on the basis of \*hich the success of the Programme of ) ction for the Least / e"eloped ountries for the / ecade 2001-2010 \* ould be .Fudged. 13. ) n unprecedented leap for \*ard \*as made b\$ 7 N member 'tates ten \$ears later- at the >ourth 7 nited Nations onference on the Least / e"eloped ountries in Istanbul!% a\$ 2011#- \*ith a bold pronouncement on the matter- namel\$-Vthe aim of enabling half the number of Least / e"eloped ountries to meet the criteria for graduation b\$ 2020W 19.

#### The rationale for graduation

Graduation from L/ status is naturall\$ s\$non\$mous \*ith the recognition of structural economic progress. ) graduating countr\$ \*ill necessaril\$ be e+pected to ha"e demonstrated-through a con"incingl\$ impro"ed economic and social performance- enough structural progress to be able to pursue its de"elopment efforts \*ith less e+ternal support. If the decision to ta8e a countr\$ out of the list of L/s is \*ell founded- the graduating countr\$- \*ith enhanced institutional capacities- \*ill be e+pected to remain undisturbed \*hile de"elopment partners ma\$ den\$ it pri"ileged access to a special treatment.

#### The graduation rule

The graduation rule applies specific thresholds to the indicators rele"ant to the three criteria !gross national income per capita@human assets inde+@economic "ulnerabilit\setminutes inde+#. >or each of these indicators- there is a margin bet\*een the threshold for adding a countr\setminutes to the list and the threshold for graduating a countr\setminutes. The margin is considered a reasonable estimate of the additional socio-economic progress that ought to be obser"ed if one assumes that the graduating countr\setminutes is effecti"el\setminutes engaged on a path of impro"ement: not onl\setminutes is the graduating countr\setminutes e+pected to ha"e risen to the threshold under \*hich non-L/ s \*ould be admitted into

-

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  7 N General ) ssembl\$- Third 7 nited Nations  $\,$  onference on the Least  $/\,$  e"eloped  $\,$  ountries-  $\,$  I russels-  $\,$  I elgium-1&-20 % a\$ 2001- Programme of ) ction for the Least  $/\,$  e"eloped  $\,$  ountries for the  $/\,$  ecade 2001-2010- para. 21!e#  $^{19}$  7 nited Nations- Programme of ) ction for the Least  $/\,$  e"eloped  $\,$  ountries for the  $/\,$  ecade 2011-2020- % a\$ 2011-para. 23.

the categor\$- but it is additionall\$ e+pected to e+ceed this threshold b\$ a significant margin. This dispels the ris8 that graduation be dictated b\$ temporar\$ or insignificant economic circumstances.

T\*o other elements of the graduation rule also impl\$ durable structural progress in the graduating countr\$:

X at least t\*o of the three graduation thresholds must normall\$