



Considerations

4. As the Administrative Tribunal of the International Labour Organization observed in Judgment 1824, *In re Sethi (No. 4)*, the authority of a final judgment - *res judicata* - cannot be so readily set aside. The party who loses can not re-litigate his or her case. There must be an end to litigation and the stability of the judicial process requires that final judgments by an appellate court be set aside only on limited grounds and for the gravest of reasons, which is not the case here.

5. As Shanks also acknowledges, the present request falls outside of the permissible grounds for revision, correction, or interpretation under Article 11 of the Appeals Tribunal's Statute.

Judgment

6. There are no grounds for the Appeals Tribunal to review this matter in any way. Shanks's application is denied.

Dated this 1st day of July 2010 in New York, United States.

Original: English

(Signed)

Judge Weinberg de Roca,
Presiding

(Signed)

Judge Boyko

(Signed)

Judge Simón