

4. On 30 August 2010, the Secretary-General filed an appeal against both UNDT Judgments. On 8 July 2011, the Appeals Tribunal issued Judgment No. 2011-UNAT-132, by which it upheld the rescission of the contested decision but varied the orders made by the Dispute Tribunal under 5.2(i) and (ii) in the Judgment on the merits. The Appeals Tribunal ordered Ms. Frechon's reinstatement for the sole purpose of the Administration initiating the correct procedures set out in ST/AI/1999/16, entitled "Termination of appointment for reasons of health". Having considered the Dispute Tribunal's finding that Ms. Frechon was incapable of working for reasons of health, the Appeals Tribunal found no basis for the order in paragraph 5.2(ii).

5. 478rTCa49T4istr1Bot-5(201)-7(al )3me3(mb3)-4a0-le-5(hon 6)m68 -mainJtdD4 (002 By Oteme

8. Ms. Frechon requests reimbursement of her airline ticket and travel expenses at the time of her separation.

17. In such circumstances, the Order in respect of which Ms. Frechon now seeks execution is not an Order which was affirmed by this Tribunal.

18. Therefore, insofar as Ms. Frechon can seek execution of this Tribunal's Order, as recited at paragraph 63, it is to the extent that the Secretary-General has failed to reinstate her "for the purpose of the Administration initiating the procedures pursuant to ST/AI/1999/16", thereby en titling her to the remedy provided in the alternative, namely, two years' net base pay.

19. However, the Secretary-General did reinstate her in accordance with this Tribunal's Order, as set out at paragraph 61of Judgment No. 2011-UNAT-132.

20. Ms. Frechon's application for execution is thus rejected.

21. The further matters raised in the present application to this Tribunal do not meet the criteria for review as set out in Article 11 of the Statute of the Appeals Tribunal.

Judgment

22. The application for execution is rejected.