

---

UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL  
TRIBUNAL D 'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES

---

Judgment No. 2020-UNAT-1032

Rolli  
(Appellant )

v.

Secretary -General  
of the World Meteorological Organization  
(Respondent )

JUDGMENT ON APPLICATION  
FOR REVISION OF JUDGMENT

---

Before:	Judge Jean-François Neven, Presiding Judge Graeme Colgan Judge Dimitrios Raikos
Case No:	2020-1354
Date:	26 June 2020
Registrar:	Weicheng Lin

---

Counsel for Mr. Rolli : Edward Patrick Flaherty

Counsel for WMO Secretary-General: Brigitta Exterkate

JUDGE JEAN-FRANCOIS NEVEN, PRESIDING.

1. Mr. Angiolo Rolli has submitted an application for revision of Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-952 that the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (Appeals Tribunal) issued on

8. On 15 April 2019, Mr. Rolli filed an appeal before the Appeals Tribunal. He requested the Appeals Tribunal to rescind the decision to summarily dismiss him and order his reinstatement. He also requested three years' net base salary for material damages, and two years' net base salary for moral damages, and sought costs, a reference letter, and withdrawal from his personnel file of all adverse material related to his termination.

9. In Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-952, this Tribunal decided to remand the case to the JAB in terms of Article 2(3) and Article 2(4)(b) of the Statute of the Appeals Tribunal for reconsideration in accordance with the directions in paragraphs 33 and 34 of the Judgment. Its considerations read as follows:<sup>1</sup>

... The ultimate issue in this case, which this Tribunal must decide in terms of Article 2 of the WMO agreement, is whether the decision of the Secretary-General of the WMO to summarily terminate (o)2.1 (f)3.31.934 -A-3.1 (c)-04.6 (W)17 (i5P-4-2.1 (O)0.u1 (f)0.7 (4 Tw 0 -1ye)1.1 (m)

Appeals Tribunal and concerning its sharing of the expenses of the



In paragraph 34, the Appeals Tribunal listed the issues and questions on which the JAB was directed to make finding 0 Td ( -.JTJ 0 Tc 0 Tw 12.5275 w 1 j 0 0 )-5.6 (d) 0 0 fi



15. The WMO, therefore, requests the Appeals Tribunal to reject Mr. Rolli's application for revision.

Considerations

16. Mr. Rolli asks this Tribunal to revise the Judgment delivered on 25 October 2019. The Tribunal's power to do so lies in Article 11(1) of the Statute of the Appeals Tribunal, and Article 24 of this Tribunal's Rules of Procedure.

17. These provisions are reproduced below, respectively:

Article 11

1. Subject to article 2 of the present statute, either party may apply to the Appeals Tribunal for a revision of a judgement on the b(is[-5.4 (e)5.9 (i)1 pmb).6 (f)1(un)-1.8 (.4 6.2 (e)249/j317 0



19. The Agreement concluded

Judgment

23. The application for revision of Judgment No. 2019-UNAT- 952 is dismissed.

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June 2020.

(Signed)

Judge Neven, Presiding

(Signed)

Judge Colgan

(Signed)

Judge Raikos