



**UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL
TRIBUNAL D'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES**

**Avramoski
(Appellant)**

v.

**Secretary-General of the United Nations
(Respondent)**

JUDGMENT

Facts and Procedure

vice versa

Submissions

The Appellant's Appeal

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

The Secretary-General's Answer

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

-8:1260(N)725 Q.5Eh0-901TJ ((U)4)4.(12 -0 0 12 37Tc 67TT2 1 Tf -0.02 Tw10.3784))57TN

Did the Dispute Tribunal err in determining the application was receivable because the refusal to change the EOD date was the relevant administrative decision?

36.

Rasul, Sefraoui,

conditio sine qua non

Sefraoui

Andronov :

Andati-Amwayi

Lee

Reid v. Secretary-General of the United Nations

Andronov

Andati-

Lee, supra.

Did the Dispute Tribunal err in finding that the 2008 entry of the EOD date was outside the temporal jurisdiction of the Tribunal?

Omwanda

Ibid.
Omwanda v. Secretary-General of the United Nations

THE U

Judgment

(Signed)

(Signed)

(Signed)