



4. On 4 September 2013, the UNDT issued Order No. 154 (GVA/2013), convoking the parties to a hearing which was scheduled for 16 October 2013. The hearing was subsequently postponed due to the unavailability of Mr. Bastet’s counsel.

5. On 20 September 2013, Mr. Bastet filed a “Motion for Interim Relief”, requesting that the Appeals Tribunal suspend the proceedings before the UNDT pending his appeals against Orders No. 58 (GVA/2013) and No. 96 (NY/2013).

6. The Secretary-General filed his Observations on the Motion on 25 October 2013. The Secretary-General argues that the Motion is, in fact, an appeal against Order No. 80 (GVA/2013) and, as such, the 30-day time limit for interlocutory appeals applies. Mr. Bastet having filed his appeal on 20 September 2013, 98 days after the applicable time limit had lapsed, his appeal would therefore be time-barred and not receivable.

7. Article 9(4) of the Statute provides that “[a]t any time during the proceedings, the Appeals Tribunal may order an interim measure to provide temporary relief to either party to prevent irreparable harm and to maintain consistency with the judgement of the Dispute Tribunal”.

8. The Appeals Tribunal has previously held that it

