







- On 11 August 2009, all previous decisions were amen

the recovery of USD5,592.40, the balance due after some monies had already been recovered from the Applicant.

employment of staff that may result from fundamental differences  
in the compensation package”;

iv.







## Discussion

Were the contested decisions administrative decisions?

18. Article 2.1 of the Tribunal's Statute provides that the Tribunal is competent to hear and pass judgment on an application appealing "an administrative decision that is alleged to be in non-compliance with the terms of

staff rule and related instruments to the Applicant's situation. These decisions directly affected him. The Respondent's submission on that point is rejected.

What is the interpretation of former staff rule 103.24(a), the operative rule for determining whether a staff member's spouse is a dependant for the purpose of entitlement to a dependency benefit?

23. The interpretation of a statutory document proceeds first by establishing the plain meaning of the words in the context of the document as a whole. Only if the wording is ambiguous should the Tribunal have recourse to other documents

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2009/91

Judgment No. UNDT/2011/108

32. Further, the Applicant's proposed interpretation is not supported by the wording of ST/AI/2000/8 in English. Apart from the fact that section 2.1 does not mention the term "equivalent", none of its other pr

