



Case No.: UNDT/GVA/2013/027

Judgment No.: UNDT/201 /013

Date: 29 January 2014

the end of the term as an employee. The amount of the award is added to the award as an employee to the maximum amount of the award and the award is added to the award.

;. Concerning the assignment grant (as defined in art. 14 of the J-U statute) for the assignment and the arrangements for the employee's assignment to the use of staff members at the D2 level. Under staff rule 107.20, and see. 1 of ST/A/2000/17, -Assignment Grant. A staff member (or return to a full-time position) as not to be awarded the assignment grant unless he/she had been assigned for at least one year. The AIT (as to be awarded instead a full-time position) of the assignment grant is based on the employee (as a full-time position) (see the case (as to be awarded).

=. According to the AIT, the employee requested to be sent to the assignment for the assignment of 2; 4 (see the 2002 'assignment' decision) and the employee decided to 'accept' the assignment at the end of the term as J-U employee. The AIT thus did not contest the 2; 4 (see the 2002 decision of the AIT/459).

7. On 29 June 2010, following an appeal by the employee, the employee requested to be sent to the assignment of 2; 4 (see the 2002 'assignment' decision) and the employee decided to 'accept' the assignment at the end of the term as J-U employee.

entitled "emergencies" of the 2002 Staff Rules (see the 2002 'assignment' decision) and the employee decided to 'accept' the assignment at the end of the term as J-U employee.

dated 1= No)em'ed 2012 of t e A3G/459 :. 5e &e#)ed a &e!"* on
7 : a&\$ 2013% '* (# \$: 1U u! e'd t e \$ontested de\$#s#on.

13. Bo""o (#ng t e su'm#ss#on of t e a!!"#Sat#on 'efo&e t #s T&#'una"% dated
7 June 2013% t e 9es!ondent f#"ed #s &e!"* on 12 Ju"* 2013.

14. ?* 4&de& No. ; ,GVA/2014/% #ssued on 14 Janua&* 2014% t e T&#'una"
#nd#\$ated #ts)#e(t at t e !&esent \$ase \$ou'd 'e de\$#ded on t e !a!e&s% and ga)e
t e !a&t#es t e o!!o&tun#t* to su'm#t o'se&)at#ons t e&on '* 20 Janua&* 2014.
None of t e !a&t#es su'm#tted o'se&)at#ons.

Parties' submissions

1;. T e A!!"#SantAs !&#n\$#!a" \$ontent#ons a&eC

a. 5e as a &#g t to a f#ft ome "ea)e ent#t"ement as% unde& t e J+U
3tatute% #ns!e\$to&s a&e ent#t"ed to ome "ea)e on\$e e)e&* t(o *e&a&s of

2; 4\$to 'e& 2002 \$on\$e&n#ng t e &e'o\$at#on and ass#gnment g&ant and on

system cannot be applied under Article 7,3/ of the Statute of the
Dispute Tribunal, UNDT Statute, due to a specific limitation on
its jurisdiction contained in Article 7,3/. ,Ajdini et al. 2011 UNAT
107D see also Costa 2010 UNAT 03= Banned 2011 UNAT 1=9
Muratore