

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2012/068

JudgmenNo.: UNDT/2014,088
Date: 26 June2014

Original: English

Before: JudgeNkemdilim Izuako

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Abena KwakyeBerko

CONLON

٧.

SECRETARYGENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

JUDGMENT

Counsel for the Applicant: Self-represented

Counsel for the Respondent: Katya Melluish, UNON

Introduction

- 1. The Applicant is a Finance Clerkat the United Nations Environment Programm's (UNEP) Secretaria of the Convention on Biological Diversity (SCBD).
- 2. In her Application dated@November 2012she iscontesting the decision to introduce the Global Classification Standard (GCS) for General Service (GS) positionsin Montreal following a renumbering sercise atthis duty station. She avers that this resulted inda facto demotion by one level of both the job and the personal level of each incumbent and that there was a failure to ensure due process
- 3. The Respondent filed a Reply of Decembe 2012 in which it is asserted that the Applicant has misrepresented the facts and that her claims are moot and/or premature, rendering her Application without merit.
- 4. On 3 June2014, by Order No.145 (NBI/2014), the Parties were informed that the Tribunal hadecided, in accordance with art. 16.1 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure, that an oral hearing swap trequired in deterning this case and that it wouldrely on the parties' pleadings and it would be submissions.

Facts

- 5. The following facts are based on Parties' writtenpleadings and submissions.
- 6. The Applicant joined the CBD on 23

Resources Officeat the International Civil AviationOrganization I(CAO), that ICAO was moving ahead with the implementation of a new stewer GS classification standard and the severel salary structure on 1 April 2012 and that a renumbering exercise would be coneducto align to the severel structure. As per the classification guidelines, the Applicant's post would be renumbered from G to G6.

9.

Case No. UNDTMBI/2012/068 JudgmentNo. UNDT/2014/088

The Applicant's case

15. The Applicantmade several general submissions regarding the effects of the renumbering exercise. The specific submissions with retaplater own case are summarized below.

- 16. Due process was denied to the GS staff of the SCBD prior to the application of a new salary scale effective 1 April 2012.
- 17. The change of the GS salary scale at the Montreal duty station effective 1 April 2012 was the result of the consultative process followed by the lead agency at the Montreal duty station, ICAO.
- 18. The SCBD and UNON ignored the advance consultative process set out by ICAO yet unilaterally implemented the new salary scale effective 1 April 2012 renumbering all GS staff down one level in both post and personal level.
- 19. The renumbering exercise resulted in *leafacto* re-classification which is supported by the individual Personant Action form she received in May 2012 confirming the lower jobgrade in breach of ST/AI/1998/9 (System for the classification of posts).
- 20. The classification exercise is still pending due to delays and significant administrative errors in procedure.
- 21. In view of the foregoing, the Applicant prays for the following jefs:
 - a. Reinstatement of her personal grade to G6 step & level
 - b. Her salary adjustment to reflect the correct remuneration of G6 step 8 for the period 1 April 2012 to date;
 - c. Compensation for nonpecuniary damages due to the renumbering exercise and otherngoing unresolved contractual issues; and
 - d. Her job title to be returned to that of Finance Assistant.

Case No. UNDTMBI/2012/068 JudgmentNo. UNDT/2014/088

The Respondent'scase

- 22. The Respondent submitted that until May 2012, the Montreal duty station was known to have a nirlevel GS salary scale with postumbered from \$1 to GS-9 as was promulgated regularly by the ICSC in the compendium of salary scales for the GS category of staff. In reality, however, SCBD GS staffs were all employed within the \$-2 to \$-8 levels under the ninlevel scale.
- 23. Pursuant to art. 11(a) of its statute, the ICSC establishes and reviews both headquarters methodology and rhomadquarters methodology for surveys of best prevailing conditions of employment of GS and other related categories.
- 24. In recent years, the ICSC happromulgated a new severevel job classification standard for GS and redatestegories within the United Nations Common System organizations thereby providing for the first time a harmonized approach to job classification for GS jobs globally.
- 25. In March 2010, ICAQ the lem [()] -11(g)-1m [((o)-124(a)17(rg)31(c)52(s)5 TJ ET Q q BT /F

29. UNON/HRMS postponed the implementation of the new bearing system until 1 May 2012 to grant some staff extra time to understand the process but as ICAO had otherwise introduced the new scale from 1 April 2012, it was not considered appropriate for the renumbering to be delayed any longer as there was need to have congruency at the duty station, that is, to avoid the United Nations system having different GS scales operational at the same location for a protracted period of time and also due to the fact that the lead of ICAO and the instructions of the ICSC lad to be followed.

30.

service of staff in the United Nations common system, while promoting and maintaining high standards in the international civil service.

46. Article 1.1 of the Statute sets out the mandate of the ICSC as follows:

The General Assembly of the United Nations establishes, in accordance with the present statute, an International Civil Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as the Commission) for the regulation and coordination of the conditions of service of the United Nations commonystem.

- 47. Pursuant to art. (a) of the ICSC Statute, the ICSC shall establish methods by which the principles for determining conditions of service should be applied
- 48. In resolution 67/241 (Administration of Justice at the United Nations), the Gereral Assembly reaffirmed that "the decisions of the International Civil Service Commission are binding on the Secret@myneral and on the Organization".
- 49. In Obino, UNDT/2013/008 (upheld on appealit was held that

Though the ICSC] may communicates recommendations on

Case No. UNDTMBI/2012/068 JudgmentNo. UNDT/2014/088

Tribunal to show that theoretested decision was taken