
UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/GVA/2014/0

! "#g \$e%& No.: UNDT/2011/01

Date: 18 (e)*"a*+ 2011

O*,g,%a-: E%g-,s.

Introduction

1. The present case concerns a request for information filed on 10 November 2014 and upon the request,

A. /n 5e"tem 'e(201.) an 5PA Pane# 6as %on&ened. T*e +

1.. 8n 10 De%em'e(2014) t*e +es"ondent f\$hed a mot\$on fo(#ea&e to f\$#e a (e"#! #m\$ted to (e%e\$&a'#\$t!) and to *a&e t*e \$ssue of (e%e\$&a'#\$t! dete(m\$ned as a "(e#m\$na(! matte(.

14. ! 8(de(No. 192 IGVA/20144 of 11 De%em'e(2014) t*e T(\$'una# offe(ed t*e A""#\$%ant t*e "oss\$'#\$t! to f\$#e a (es"onse on t*e +es"ondent,s mot\$on) 6*%* s*e d\$d on 15 De%em'e(2014.

15. Pu(suant to 8(de(No. 19. IGVA/20144 of 17 De%em'e(2014) t*e +es"ondent f\$hed a (e"#! #m\$ted to (e%e\$&a'#\$t! on .1 De%em'e(2014.

1A. ! 8(de(No. A IGVA/20154 of 8 Janua(! 2015) t*e T(\$'una# o(de(ed t*at t*e "a(t\$es f\$#e (easoned o'De%t\$ons) \$f an!) to a Dudge(m)ent on (e%e\$&a'#\$t! 'e\$ng \$ssued on t*e "a"e(s. None of t*e "a(t\$es f\$#ed an! o'De%t\$ons t*e(eto.

Parties' submissions on receivability

17. T*e A""#\$%ant,s "(n%\$"a# %ontent\$ons a(e>

a. 5*e (e%e\$&ed t*e f\$(st 6(\$tten %ommun\$%at\$on f(om t*e C*\$ef) =+5) e<"(ess\$ng *e(o"\$n\$on on t*e matte(of t*e SPA on 18 June 2014E

'. P(\$o(to t*at) t*e d\$\$%uss\$on *ad 'een an on7go\$ng d\$a#ogue 'et 6een *e(

18.

an adm\$nst(at&e de%\$\$son *as to 'e 'ased on o'De%t&e e#ements 6*\$%* 'ot*
"a(t\$es %an dete(m\$ne 6\$t* a%%u(a% ! IRosana 20127UNAT727.4 *en%e an!
su'De%t&e %ons\$de(at\$ons ' ! t*e A""#\$%ant a(e \$(e#e&antE t*e A""#\$%ant
%on%eded F \$n a %ommun\$%at\$on to t*e +eg\$st(a) /CT0) of
4 No&em'e(2014 F t*at s*e (e)e\$&ed t*e de%\$\$son of t*e SPA to t*e G57A
#e&e# \$n 8%to'e(201 .E

g. A staff mem'e(6*o de%\$des to (eso(t to negot\$at\$ons 6\$t* t*e
8(gan\$Gat\$on does not a'so#&e *\$m o(*e(f(om t*e o

Case No.

UNDT/GVA/2014/077

to the respondent) said depositions was not filed to the Applicant on 14 August 2011. The deposition was not contested by the Applicant.

25. The respondent assumed that the deposition was not a final deposition of the witness on 14 August 2011. The respondent argued that the deposition was not a final deposition of the witness on 14 August 2011. The respondent argued that the deposition was not a final deposition of the witness on 14 August 2011.

2A. The respondent and the applicant of the appeal (the respondent) argued that the deposition was not a final deposition of the witness on 14 August 2011. The respondent argued that the deposition was not a final deposition of the witness on 14 August 2011.

Conclusion

27. The respondent of the appeal (the applicant) argued that the deposition was not a final deposition of the witness on 14 August 2011.

The applicant argued that the deposition was not a final deposition of the witness on 14 August 2011.

Signed

Judge Thomas Iacob

Dated this 18th day of June 2015

Contested deposition on this 18th day of June 2015

Signed

enJ ; . Va(gas ; .) +egst(a) Gene&a