
UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2015/133

Judgment No.: UNDT/2016/141

Date: 23 September 2016

Original: English

Before: Judge Nkemdilim Izuako

Registry: Nairobi

Introduction

1.

8. Following the Secretary-General's budget proposal to the General Assembly, MONUSCO issued Information Circulars to its entire staff on 6 and 9 March 2015, 14 April 2015, and 20 April 2015, with regard to the proposed budget, the establishment of a Comparative Review Panel (CRP), and the review criteria.

9. Under the proposed new structure for the Mission, which was approved by the General Assembly, the military force in Bukavu was to be reduced by one battalion and Kinshasa would no longer be an operational base. As a result, LA posts in Kinshasa and Bukavu were abolished. This meant that a budgetary reduction of 80 LA posts in the 2015/2016 budget cycle for MONUSCO was done.

10. The Applicant, who was an LA in Bukavu, was affected by the abolition. A memorandum from the MONUSCO Director of Mission Support (DMS) informed him of this development. He was also informed through a memorandum from the Chief Civilian Personnel Officer (CCPO), Ms. Xaba-Motsa.

11. As at 16 June 2015, the Applicant, along with the o wi, al4(1)-11(e)4()una 109(ret-5(o wi,ETB

themselves does not alter the Organization's obligations under paragraph

b. Pursuant to art. 2.1(a) of its Statute, the Dispute Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to review the matter of the abolition of the post the Applicant encumbered and the recommendation of the Secretary-General to the General Assembly that led to the abolition of the post. These claims are not receivable and should be rejected.

c. The only reviewable administrative decision before the Dispute Tribunal is the decision not to renew the Applicant's appointment due to the abolition of her post.

Submissions on the Merits

The decision not to renew the Applicant's appointment was lawful as the post he encumbered was subject to a legitimate restructuring of the Mission.

d. A fixed-term appointment does not carry any expectancy of renewal, irrespective of length of service (staff regulation 4.5(c); staff rule 4.13(c)).

e. The Applicant has adduced no evidence that the decision not to renew his fixed-term appointment was unlawful. On 25 June 2015, the General Assembly abolished 80 LA posts to meet the operational and budgetary needs of the Mission. In conjunction with the MONUSCO

improper purposes. The Applicant bears the burden of proving that the discretion not to renew his or her appointment was not validly exercised.

A comparative review was not required and the outsourcing of the LA functions was proper in the circumstances.

h. There was no requirement for the Mission to subject the Applicant and others similarly placed to a comparative review process. The Department of Field Support Downsizing Guidelines provide that locally recruited staff must be comparatively reviewed by duty station. Since all LA posts in the Bukavu and Kinshasa duty stations were abolished, a comparative review was unnecessary.

i. Due to the need for LAs to be more mobile and to effectively interact and liaise with the local population by providing linguistic support during their engagement, it was agreed to d age nd age wm[omp]h(a)4(g)n(uist)z

representatives had an opportunity to respond by engaging in discussions with the National Staff Union representatives under the UNOPS contractual modality.

The Respondent did not violate

26. The Applicant in supplementary pleadings raised the issue of about five other LAs in Bukavu and Kinshasa who continued to enjoy fixed-term contracts after all LA posts in these two duty stations were said to have been abolished. He also raised the issue of another former LA who was laterally transf

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2015/133

Judgment No. UNDT/2016/141