

7. The 172(5) of the OT, Pursuant to the provisions of the Staff Regulations and Rules, the Board of Directors of UNDP has approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year. The Board of Directors of UNDP has also approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year. Pursuant to the provisions of the Staff Regulations and Rules, the Board of Directors of UNDP has approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year.

1. On 10 November 2012, the Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, requested the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year. The Board of Directors of UNDP has approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year. Pursuant to the provisions of the Staff Regulations and Rules, the Board of Directors of UNDP has approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year.

0. Under section 1) of the OT, Pursuant to the provisions of the Staff Regulations and Rules, the Board of Directors of UNDP has approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year. The Board of Directors of UNDP has also approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year. Pursuant to the provisions of the Staff Regulations and Rules, the Board of Directors of UNDP has approved the appointment of a permanent representative to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights in Geneva, Switzerland, for a period of three (3) years, renewable for one (1) year.

10.

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2016/027

Judgment No. UNDT/2017/026

1). The letter of 1 August 2011 from the Deputy Director of Office of Human Resources Management (OC45) UNDP informed the Applicant that the salary scale (being granted one year of 7BDOP from 11 June 2011 to 17 June 2012). The further information of the 7BDOP extension would not impact and its cost to the Applicant may be paid for the period of the agreed extension period.

16. On 17 January 2012 the Director, OC UNDP sent an email to all UNDP staff notifying them that as a result of the admission of the applicant's appeal (being the UNDP OT, position would be on 1 January 2012). Given that in 2012 the OT, team had deferred the appeal's case in light of the ongoing investigation for misconduct the applicant had not been considered for a permanent appointment. The Applicant did not challenge the decision not to grant him a permanent appointment in the context of the OT, .

17. On 11 June 2012 the Director, OC UNDP informed the Applicant that the 7BDOP was extended until 30 September 2012. On 1 October 2012 the Applicant agreed to advise the Applicant that his application for an extension of 7BDOP was approved for a further period from 1 October 2012 to 17 June 2013) the Applicant would (including the total period of 7BDOP to the maximum of 24 months) agreed. The Applicant stated that the Applicant's application for agreed extension setting the option of "unsum and 3 (including 4 ' as 3st" under 4.

11. On 8 October 2011 the Director eased his investigation report and forwarded a copy to UNDP.

10. On 17 June 2011 the Applicant agreed the maximum limit of the extension on 7BDOP the Applicant's final appointment ended

2). On 2* Ca %\$ 2017# t\$e , espondent !no med t\$e T !(una" t\$at UNDP \$ad sent t\$e App"!%ant a "ette not!f&!ng \$!m t\$at# \$ad \$e emained !n !ts emp"o&# a e%om mendat!on ' ou"d \$a-e (een made to %\$a ge \$!m ' !t\$ m!s%ondu%t. : e ' as g!-en 1) da&s to %omment t\$e eon.

Parties' submissions

26. T\$e App"!%ant;s p !n%!pa" %ontent!ons a eE

a. , ega d!ng e%e!-a(!"t&# t\$e "ette dated 1 O%(e 201* %annot !nd!%ate t\$at a de!s!on to g ant t\$e App"!%ant \$!s ag eed sepa at!on ' as (e!ng made %ond!t!ona" on t\$e out%ome of t\$e d!s!p"!na & p o%ess# (e%ause su%\$ "ette p e%eded UNDP;s /no ' "edge of t\$e !n-est!gat!on aga!nst \$!m. T\$e efe en%e !n t\$e "ette to t\$e app"!%at!on (e!ng 3st!" unde e-!e ' 4 must efe to t\$e opt!ona")OH up"!ft of t\$e te m!nat!on !ndemn!t& and/o to t\$e poss!("e e<tens!on of t\$e 7BDOP fo (!dg!ng pu poses!

(. A%%o d!ng to se%. 11 of UNDP Ag eed 7epa at!on A angements# ead !n %on-un%t!on ' !t\$!ts se%. 10# on"& \$o"de s of a f!<ed>te m appo!ntment ' \$o \$a-e (een g anted ag eed sepa at!on e%e!-e a t\$ ee>mont\$ not!%e. T\$e efo e# t\$e fa%t t\$at t\$e App"!%ant ' as g!-en and se -ed a t\$ ee>mont\$ pe !od not!%e s\$o ' s t\$at \$e \$ad (een g anted an ag eed sepa at!on. +f t\$!s ' e e not t\$e %ase# \$e ' ou"d \$a-e e%e!-ed# at most# a one>mont\$ not!%e pe !od. : a-!ng t eated t\$e App"!%ant as a staff mem(e unde an ag eed sepa at!on# UNDP s\$ou"d (e estopped f om et oa%t!-e"& ende !ng t\$e

%. As a result of the Applicant's ongoing settlement of the

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2016/027

Judgment No. UNDT/2017/026

e.

Consideration

Scope and receivability of the application

21. The Applicant desires that the contested decision be set aside in whole or in part, and that the Commission be ordered to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Case No.

UNDT/GVA/2016/027

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2016/027

Judgment No. UNDT/2017/026

Question in this case is inconsistent with its own position and that it is not one of

t\$at t\$e de%ision (& t\$e D! e%to # O : ,# UNDP# to defe %ons!de at!on of \$!s
e9uest fo an ag eed sepa at!on unt!" t\$e %on%"us!on of a d!s%!p"!na & %ase aga!nst
\$!m ' as not !n and of !tse" f an un"a ' fu" e%e %!se of manage !a" d!s% et!on.

*0. +n -!e ' of t\$e !no d!nate de"a&s t\$at o%%u ed !n t\$e %! %umstan%es of t\$!s
%ase 2see pa a. *6 a(o-e5# t\$e T !(una" %ons!de s !t app op !ate to efe t\$!s %ase to
t\$e UNDP Adm!n!st ato fo poss!(e a%!ton to enfo %e a%%ounta(!"t&# !n
a%%o dan%e ' !t\$ a t. 10.1 of !ts 7tatute.

Judgment

)0. T\$e app"!%at!on !s d!sm!ssed.

2Signed5

Judge Goo"am Cee an

Dated t\$!s 21st da& of Ap !" 2017

Fnte ed !n t\$e , eg!ste on t\$!s 21st da& of Ap !" 2017

2Signed5

, enM C. Va gas C.# , eg!st a # Gene-a