

!

" #

On 11 June 2014, the Organizational Committee of the Peacebuilding Commission convened an informal meeting. The meeting was chaired by the Permanent Representative of Brazil, Chair of the Peacebuilding Commission, and addressed the following agenda items: 1' (date on the preparations for the PSC ) annual session 2+ June 2014', 2' ) advanced preparation for the 201- review of the Peacebuilding Architecture.

\$

" %

& '

(

1. The Chair briefed members states on the ongoing preparations for the PSC ) annual session of 2+ June. He stressed that the event, which takes place for the first time, represents an important opportunity to position the PSC to play a visible and useful role of tackling issues requiring global development at the intergovernmental level. He noted that the topics identified by the Organizational Committee for the two working sessions 2 name! 1' Mobilization of international and domestic resources and revenue generation and 2' Lessons learned on the development of national capacities and sustainability of resources in the context of UN missions' transitions 2 represent important areas where the political support of the Commission can improve outcomes for the people in countries emerging. 72314(o)-#r

from the website of the PSC  
<a href="http://www.un.org/en/peacebuilding/annualsession2014.shtml">http://www.un.org/en/peacebuilding/annualsession2014.shtml</a>

4. . ember \*tates welcomed the u#date b! the Chair and noted that the )nnual \*ession should also be a #latform to discuss concrete actions that can benefit #eo#le in

methods and institutional structures of the P\$) & ith greater em#hasis on the P\$C'. This o#tion also see0s to ta0e stoc0 of the im#act made b! the P\$C in the countries on its agenda and #ro#ose a!s of ada#ting the P\$Cs or0ing methods and a##roach in order to ma=imize its im#act and consistenc! of outcomes.

- E. The ensuing discussion reflected areas of convergence, as ell as differences in . ember \*tates #ers#ectives on the ob@ctives and sco#e of the 201- revie . The ma@rit! of delegations converged around the need for an ambitious sco#e, hile also retaining the focus on #roducing actionable and concrete recommendations aimed at strengthening the P\$). \*ome delegations also agreed that . ember \*tates should be read! to address difficult and sensitive s!stemic 1uestions. <n this regard, several delegations em#hasized the need for revisiting the original vision behind the

Questions about the P\$), then none of the options under consideration could be sufficient.

12. In addition to the suggested scope, delegations have converged on the need for well articulated Terms of Reference that would correspond to the agreed scope and clarify the objectives of the review. The majority of the d