Peacebuilding Commission Working Group on Lessons Learned

"Economic Revitalization in Peacebuilding and the Development of Service Based Infrastructure", 22 November 2010

Chairperson's Summary

I. Introduction

- 1. The Peacebuilding Commission's (PB@prking Group on Lessons Learned (WGLL) held a meeting on the topic of "EconomRevitalization in Peacebuilding and the Development of Service Bastenfrastructure" on 22 Novmber 2010 in New York. The meeting was chaired by Ambassador Gyanar@ma Acharya, Chairperson of the WGLL and Permanent Representative of NepathtoUnited Nations. The panel was composed of five speakers:
 - x Dr Bartholomew Armah, Senior PolicAydviser for UNDP BCPR, co-author of "Poverty Reduction Strategies in Actional Perspectives and Lessons from Ghana" who presented the development of infrasture to create embryoment in a post-conflict setting.
 - x Mr Fernando Pereira, President of the ministrative Council of Roads Fund of Mozambique who presented lessons leatrfrem the development of the road sector in Mozambique.
 - x Mrs Consolata Ndayishimiye, President the Burundi Association of Women entrepreneur (AFAB) and President the Federal Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Burundi who elaborated economic revitalisation in Burundi.
 - x Professor Graciana del Castillo, Senios exerch Scholar at Columbia University, and author of "Rebuilding War-Torn Stat" presented some recommendations for the United Nations system whereing post-conflict countries.
 - x Dr Nadia F. Piffaretti, Senior Counteconomist for Zimbabwe and Senior Economist in the Fragile and ConflictfActed Situations Group of the World Bank who presented lessons learned from the World Bank.
- II. Lessons Learned from presentations and discussions:
- 2. In his opening remarks, the Chairtlook WGLL highlighted the importance of economic revitalization in the teafmath of conflict, noting that was a priority in the Secretary General's Report descebuilding in the Immedie Aftermath of Conflict Whilst acknowledging that economic revitalizantiis a broad topic, the Chair explained

that the focus of the meeting would be on diserelopment of servideased infrastructure as a sector rich in employment opportunities also as a necessity for the population; the restoration of which will enhance people's fidence in the ability of the state to provide the basic facilities in post-conflict context. For economic revitalization to be conducive to peace, however, it requires policies and laws. But these will much depend on the country's needs. Finally e Chaios9 utlind ivariou

Second, resources must be put in getting thorrect engineering solutions to avoid inadequate designs. Support shoulds be provided to small contractors to ensure they have access to financial capital and equeipth Finally, the decentralization in the management of roads should have taken pladienean, so as to ensure that qualified technicians and engineers weinerural areas where decisions needed to be taken rather then concentrated in the capital. Detreitzation might have enabled further collaboration and commitment of the local population.

- 7. The case of Burundi, one of the five pobressuntries in the world, and of which economy mainly relies on intertrianal aid, exemplifie some of the meases that can be taken by the government to overcome chradles in supporting economic recovery. Some of the government's led initiates included the creation of amti-corruption bureau, an investment promoting agency, the poverty duction strategy paper, support to the agricultural sector and to micro-finance parcips, privatisation of public institutions, a dialogue and consultation framework for the blic-private sectors, a fair on the integration of Burundi in the East Africa Community (which involved the opening of customs, a common market and possibly a transpeunion in the future). The country's integration into the regional frameworkillwincrease cooperation on security issues, ethnic cohabitation, negotiations the international scene but alinfrastructure such as transnational railways, regional telecommication connections and energy sharing (such as the drainage of oil from Uga)nd Regional integration will also stimulate improvements in knowledge exchange in sectors as scienctechnology, education, health, gender, employment creationd community development.
- 8. The Burundian Chamber of Communer and Industry (CFCIB) underwent a restructuring which now includes a chamber provinces and a chamber for women

challenges requires further improvement. Parairby as there has been a visible lack of institutional memory which has led the organtion to ignore some of the lessons of the past and in a lot of cases has led to exame inefficiencies. Problems have been identified and researched. There is not more of for research, there is a need for action. Advice can be given to governments but national anership is essential and it is the government itself that should decide one ipriorities, after haing built a broad consensus, at the national and local levelsticymaking in the immediate aftermath of conflict does not have the luxury of a mention or long-term horizon. It often requires emergency policies, even if these are distinary in the longer term. Ignoring this has often led to misplaced priorities and put th

must be compensated. Additionally, supportould strengthen country systems; risk calculus should be aligned withe country's perspective denthe support must be timely, sustained and pooled. Knowledge shouldsbared on what works and what does not. Finally, "evidence-based" policieneed to be applied anoths for learning on the ground need to be used such as: monitoring pabilities - micro-data through modern technologies - impact evaluation programs.

- 14. In response to concerns that the UN systeight not be drawing sufficiently on the existing body of peacebuilding work fromound the world; PBSO clarified that the WGLL is a platform open to policy makens ractitioners and people from the academia from around the world. The WGLL is the hiele through which the PBC has sought to draw on the knowledge and expersive of these groups of people very effort is made to learn from lessons from Afrian and non-African contexts. As example of the latter, the WGLL had a presentation on the issue of DDR in Colombial presentations by representatives from such intergovernmentadies as the Organization of American States. The WGLL can also learn from the Experience in El Salvador and Guatemala, where the OAS was not involved.
- 15. Member states agreed on the important teackling economic revitalization in the aftermath of conflict. Questions were raissed a number of issues: namely whether there is a model that could be used in terms of last and impact for countries emerging from conflict. But interest also related to the type fetraining that would be useful for former combatants and on mechanisms to ensure former combatants become a positive force in economy, hence ensuring a charagespirit. Moreover, member states questioned the nature of the appropriate synergy between the nature in post-conflict situations and the international community that best ensure ational ownership. The issue of tools available for UNDP and post-colirat countries to harness the private sector was also highlighted. Additionally it was suggested that rather then pritizing issues at stake, they should be dealt with simultaneously testore confidence. Failly the WGLL offers a number of interesting lessons learned and presstices but focushould also be given to the implementation of these lessons at the lesson
- 16. Panellists then responded to the questation that there as no 'one-size fits all' model but that effort should be made stee which model best fits specific country configurations. The ability to know and evaluation there improves the quality of projects (t focus \$18.82).

17. Thereafter, the Chair of the WGLL outlint the next steps to be undertaken by the WGLL. First a written summary will be draftend shared with members of the WGLL configuration. There will be a session without y specific configurations dedicated to explaining the outcome of the session with follow-up. The Chair of the WGLL concluded the discussion by recognizing the conomic revitalization was a political process within which both leadership and capacity building are vital. A certain level of prioritization is important. Finally, hemphasised the need for a multi-stakeholder approach including the state and the Diaspora.