Chapter IX DECISIONS IN THE EXERCISE OF OTHER FUNCTIONS AND POWERS

NOTE

Decisions of the Security Council relative to recommendations to the General Assembly regarding the admission of new Members and other questions of membership have been dean with in chapter viv. and the decisions on questions considered under the

the Charter.² The case history is presented below.

THE SITUATION CREATED BY INCREASING INCIDENTS INVOLVING HIJACKING OF COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT

Berigiany - sequence, at 20 June 1072

General, the Governing Body of the International Feder-

ation of Air Line Pilots Associations (IFALPA) requested the Security Council to convene a meeting not later than 16

United Nations and International Civil Aviation Organization decisions and, in particular, enforcement measures against States offering sanctuary and failing to prosecute

24-hour stoppage of air services on 19 June. In his reply telegram dated 11 June 1972, the Secretary-General ex-

informed IFALPA that he had immediately relayed its message to the President of the Security Council and that consultations concerning the matter were being conducted

On 20 June 1972, the President of the Council annual of the Security Council on 20 June 1972 had adopted by consensus the following decision:

threat to the lives of passengers and crews arising from the hijacking of aircraft and other unlawful interference with international civil aviation. In these circumstances, they wish to reaffirm Security Council resolution 286 (1970) of 9 September 1970 and to recall that the General Assembly has expressed its deep concern about the situation

measures within their jurisdiction to deter and prevent such acts and to take effective measures to deal with those who commit such acts.

The Security Council invites all States to expand and intensify conformity with Charter congations, with a view to chisuring the maximum possible safety and reliability of international civil aviation

In a letter⁵ dated 22 June 1972 to the President of the

accepted the consensus as showing concern with the evil of hijacking and indicating the course of action all can pursue, it had considerable recoverations as the procedure followed.

cedure had not been suspended, could have serious and far-reaching legal and other consequences. Although his Government continued to believe that informal consul-

procedure followed should not constitute a precedent for future action by the Council of meeting concerning international peace and security.

the Council the representative of Italy stated that, during the consultations, he had reserved the right to state his Government's position after the approval of the consensus of 20 June 1972. His Government would have preferred that the Council take a firmer stand on the question of the unlawful interference with international civil aviation, and

¹ Resolution 286 (1976) See Brown 1979 1971, chapter IX for the case history.

² Decisions concerning the relations of the Security Council with other organs of the United Nations, arising from Articles 12, 93 (2) and 97 of the Charter, are covered in chapter VI.

³ S/10692, OR, 27th yr., Suppl. for April-June 1972, p. 107.

⁴ S/10705, *ibid.*, p. 128.

⁵ S/10709, *ibid.*, pp. 132-133.

⁶ S/10711, *ibid.*, pp. 133-134.

it would have also preferred the adoption of a resolution on the matter in a formal meeting of the Security Council

words of the first two paragraphs of the consensus and those of the last two paragraphs. Such formal expediences,

avoided, inter alia, the discrepancy between the opening

significance of decisions taken by the Council.